

Strongly Enhanced Photon and Dilepton Production in Strongly Coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills Plasma in Strong External Magnetic Field

Kiminad A. Mamo

Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois 60607, USA

We calculate the photon and dilepton production rates in strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills Plasma in a presence of strong external magnetic field, $B \gg \frac{T^2}{\sqrt{3}}$, by using its type IIB super-gravity dual. We find that there is strong enhancement for both photon and dilepton production rates by a factor of $\sim B\sqrt{\lambda}\ell_s^2$. Moreover, in a qualitative agreement with the RHIC data, we find that the enhancement of the dilepton production rate gets stronger with decreasing momentum.

Introduction. Thermal photons and dileptons are two of the most important probes of the matter or quark-gluon plasma produced in heavy ion collisions. This is because they are produced since the early times of the collisions and propagate through the quark-gluon plasma largely without interaction. The production of these photons and dileptons has been measured at RHIC [1, 2] with the surprising result that there is large excess of photons and dileptons which is not explained by neither the perturbative QCD (pQCD) [4] nor the hydrodynamic computations [3]. Especially, the excess is observed to be largest in the low momentum region [1, 2].

It's largely believed that the strong enhancement is due to the strong external magnetic field produced at the early stage of the heavy ion collisions [5–7, 23, 24]. Therefore, there were several theoretical attempts [5–7], at weak coupling, to account for the strong enhancement of the photon and dilepton production rates due to the strong external magnetic field. However, so far, none of the attempts, at weak coupling, have succeeded in finding the strong enhancement for the photon and dilepton production rates occurred due to the strong external magnetic field.

In a different context, recently, in [22], the authors have examined the Chern-Simons diffusion rate in strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills plasma in an external magnetic field and have concluded that the external magnetic field has only negligible effect even when the magnetic field is very strong. However, in this paper, we'll take on the task of studying the production rates of photons and dileptons in strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills plasma in a strong external magnetic field and show that there is indeed strong enhancement to these rates. We also show that the enhancement is strongest in the low momentum region, in agreement with the experimental observation at RHIC [1, 2].

Previous strong coupling calculations, without an external magnetic field, of the photon and dilepton production rates [9] have used the gravity dual of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills plasma at large- N_c and have extracted the spectral functions using the standard holographic techniques [10–14]. In this paper, we'll use, the equivalent holographic technique, the holographic RG flow formalism [15–19] to extract the spectral functions at strong

coupling in a strong external magnetic field. The advantage of this formalism over the standard one is that, the AC transport coefficients, hence the spectral functions, can be written explicitly in terms of the background metric components, making it easier to study the effect of the strong external magnetic field, which is merely altering the background metric of the dual theory.

Photon and Dilepton Production Rates, and Spectral Functions. Consider a field theory in thermal equilibrium, and let the photon interaction with matter be of the form $J_\mu A^\mu$, if Γ_γ denotes the number of photons emitted per unit time per unit volume, then the rate is given by [9]

$$d\Gamma_\gamma \propto \gamma_{\mu\nu} \chi^{\mu\nu}(K) \Big|_{k^0=|\mathbf{k}|}, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ is the 'induced' metric at the boundary of the dual theory, and $\chi^{\mu\nu}(K)$ is the spectral function, proportional to the imaginary part of the retarded current-current correlation function,

$$\chi^{\mu\nu}(K) = -2 \text{Im} C^{\mu\nu}(K), \quad (2)$$

where $C^{\mu\nu}$ is the retarded two-point function of conserved current J^ν

$$C^{\mu\nu}(K) = -i \int d^4 X e^{-iK \cdot X} \theta(t) \langle [J^\mu(X), J^\nu(0)] \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Note: K is the four-momentum vector.

If we also add to the above theory massive leptons which carry only electric charge, then the thermal system will also emit these leptons, produced by virtual photon decay. Therefore, the same electromagnetic current-current correlation function, evaluated for spacelike and timelike momenta, gives the dilepton production rate, [9]

$$d\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}} \propto \gamma_{\mu\nu} \chi^{\mu\nu}(K). \quad (4)$$

Production Rates in Strongly Coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super-Yang-Mills Plasma. The gravity dual of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills Plasma at Strong Coupling and large N_c limit is studied in an asymptotically AdS_5 metric [9]

$$ds^2 = \frac{\pi^2 T^2 R^2}{u} (-f(u) dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) + \frac{R^2}{4f(u)u^2} du^2, \quad (5)$$

where $T = \frac{r_0}{\pi R^2}$ is the Hawking temperature, $R^4 = \lambda \ell_s^4$ and $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c$ is the 't Hooft coupling, and we have introduced $u = r_0^2/r^2$ and $f(u) = 1 - u^2$. The horizon corresponds to $u = 1$, the boundary to $u = 0$. Note that the indices $\{\mu, \nu\}$ will run over t, x, y , and z ; and $\{i, j, k\}$ will run over the spatial coordinates x, y , and z .

Choosing a gauge at which $A_0 = 0$, and $A_r = 0$ the diagonal components of the retarded Green's function at finite UV cut-off $u = \epsilon$, $C^{ii}(K, u = \epsilon)$, are given in terms of the AC conductivity $\sigma^{ii}(K, u = \epsilon)$ as [15, 18]

$$C^{ii}(K, \epsilon) = -i\omega\sigma^{ii}(K, \epsilon). \quad (6)$$

If we choose the wave to move in the z direction, i.e., $K = (\omega, 0, 0, k_z)$, the components of the Green's function can be classified as the longitudinal component $C^{zz}(\omega, 0, 0, k_z, \epsilon) = -i\omega\sigma^L(\omega, k_z, \epsilon)$, and the transversal components $C^{xx}(\omega, 0, 0, k_z, \epsilon) = C^{yy}(\omega, 0, 0, k_z, \epsilon) = -i\omega\sigma^T(\omega, k_z, \epsilon)$.

The longitudinal AC conductivity $\sigma^L(\omega, k_z, \epsilon)$ satisfies the holographic RG flow equation [15, 18]

$$\partial_\epsilon \sigma^L = -i\omega \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{tt}}} \left[\frac{(\sigma^L)^2}{\Sigma^L(\epsilon)} \left(1 - \frac{k_z^2 g^{zz}}{\omega^2 g^{tt}} \right) - \Sigma^L(\epsilon) \right], \quad (7)$$

while the transversal AC conductivity $\sigma^T(\omega, k_z, \epsilon)$ satisfies the slightly different holographic RG flow equation [15]

$$\partial_\epsilon \sigma^T = -i\omega \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{tt}}} \left[\frac{(\sigma^T)^2}{\Sigma^T(\epsilon)} - \Sigma^T(\epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{k_z^2 g^{zz}}{\omega^2 g^{tt}} \right) \right]. \quad (8)$$

where

$$\Sigma^L(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{g_5^2} \sqrt{\frac{-g}{g_{uu}g_{tt}}} g^{zz}, \quad (9)$$

$$\Sigma^T(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{g_5^2} \sqrt{\frac{-g}{g_{uu}g_{tt}}} g^{xx}, \quad (10)$$

with $g_5^2 = \frac{16\pi^2 R}{N^2}$.

Since the right hand side of (7) and (8) are divergent at the horizon $\epsilon = 1$, requiring them to vanish, due to the regularity condition at the horizon, we'll get, the momentum and frequency independent DC conductivities [15],

$$\sigma^L(\epsilon = 1) = \Sigma^L(\epsilon = 1) = \frac{1}{g_5^2} \sqrt{g_{xx}(1)g_{yy}(1)g_{zz}(1)g^{zz}(1)}, \quad (11)$$

$$\sigma^T(\epsilon = 1) = \Sigma^T(\epsilon = 1) = \frac{1}{g_5^2} \sqrt{g_{xx}(1)g_{yy}(1)g_{zz}(1)g^{xx}(1)}. \quad (12)$$

We can also find analytical solution for (7) in the low frequency diffusion region $k_z^2 \sim \omega \ll T$ as

$$\sigma^L(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sigma^L(1)} + \frac{ik_z^2}{\omega} \int_\epsilon^1 du \frac{g_5^2}{\sqrt{-gg^{uu}g^{tt}}}}. \quad (13)$$

Therefore, at the boundary $\epsilon = 0$, one expects the solutions of the RG equations to take the values

$$\sigma^L(\epsilon = 0) = \frac{\sigma^L(1)}{1 - \frac{ik_z^2}{\omega} D} F^L(\omega, k_z, T), \quad (14)$$

where the diffusion constant D is given by

$$D = \sigma^L(1) \int_0^1 du \frac{g_5^2}{\sqrt{-gg^{uu}g^{tt}}}, \quad (15)$$

and $F^L(\omega, k_z, T)$ is a function of higher order frequency, and momentum with, for example, in small frequency $\omega \ll T$ regime, $F^L(\omega = 0, k_z = 0, T) = 1$.

Similarly,

$$\sigma^T(\epsilon = 0) = \sigma^T(1) F^T(\omega, k_z, T). \quad (16)$$

And, using (14) and (16) in (6), the Green's functions at the boundary will be of the form

$$C^{zz}(\epsilon = 0) = \frac{\omega^2 \sigma^L(1)}{i\omega - Dk_z^2} F^L(\omega, k_z, T), \quad (17)$$

$$C^{tt}(\epsilon = 0) = \frac{k_z^2}{\omega^2} C^{zz}(\epsilon = 0) = \frac{k_z^2 \sigma^L(1)}{i\omega - Dk_z^2} F^L(\omega, k_z, T), \quad (18)$$

$$C^{xx}(\epsilon = 0) = C^{yy}(\epsilon = 0) = -i\omega \sigma^T(1) F^T(\omega, k_z, T). \quad (19)$$

where, in (18), we used the Ward identity to find $C^{tt}(\epsilon = 0)$ from $C^{zz}(\epsilon = 0)$. The equations (17), (18), and (19) are in agreement with the standard AdS/CFT results in [20].

The trace of the spectral function $\chi^{\nu\nu} = -2 \text{Im } C^{\nu\nu}$ is, then, taken with the induced Minkowski metric at the boundary, of the AdS_5 space, i.e., $\gamma_{\nu\nu} = \eta_{\nu\nu}$, and it's

$$\chi^\nu{}_\nu = \gamma_{\nu\nu} \chi^{\nu\nu} = \eta_{\nu\nu} \chi^{\nu\nu} = 2 \text{Im } C^{tt} - 2 \text{Im } C^{zz} - 4 \text{Im } C^{xx}. \quad (20)$$

where

$$\text{Im } C^{tt}(\epsilon = 0) = -\frac{k_z^2}{\omega} \sigma^L(1) \text{Re} (1 + iD \frac{k_z^2}{\omega})^{-1} \text{Re } F^L(\omega, k_z, T), \quad (21)$$

$$\text{Im } C^{zz}(\epsilon = 0) = -\omega \sigma^L(1) \text{Re} (1 + iD \frac{k_z^2}{\omega})^{-1} \text{Re } F^L(\omega, k_z, T), \quad (22)$$

$$\text{Im } C^{xx}(\epsilon = 0) = \text{Im } C^{yy}(\epsilon = 0) = -\omega \sigma^T(1) \text{Re } F^T(\omega, k_z, T). \quad (23)$$

One can see that for light like momenta, i.e., $k_z^2 = \omega^2$, $C^{tt} = C^{zz}$. So, the photon production rate Γ_γ will be given by

$$d\Gamma_\gamma \propto \gamma_{\nu\nu} \chi^{\nu\nu}(K) \Big|_{\omega=k_z} = -4 \text{Im } C^{xx}, \quad (24)$$

while for timelike and spacelike momenta the dilepton production rate $\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}$ will be given by

$$d\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}} \propto \gamma_{\nu\nu} \chi^{\nu\nu}(K) = 2 \text{Im } C^{tt} - 2 \text{Im } C^{zz} - 4 \text{Im } C^{xx}. \quad (25)$$

This is consistent with the fact that only the transversal components of the Green's function should contribute for the photon production rate while both the longitudinal and transversal components contribute for the dilepton production rate [20].

Production Rates in Strongly Coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills Plasma in Strong External Magnetic Field.

In the presence of strong magnetic field $B_z = B \gg \frac{T^2}{\sqrt{3}}$, the asymptotically AdS_5 metric (5) will RG flow to the asymptotically $AdS_3 \times T^2$ metric where T^2 is a two-dimensional compact torus, [21, 22]

$$ds^2 = \frac{\frac{4}{3}\pi^2 T^2 R^2}{u} (-f(u)dt^2 + dz^2) + \frac{R^2}{12f(u)u^2} du^2 + \frac{BR^2}{\sqrt{3}} (dx^2 + dy^2), \quad (26)$$

where $T = \frac{r_0}{\frac{4}{3}\pi R^2}$ is the Hawking temperature, $R^4 = \lambda \ell_s^4$ and $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c$, and we have introduced $u = r_0^2/r^2$ and $f(u) = 1 - u$. The horizon corresponds to $u = 1$, the boundary to $u = 0$.

Therefore, one can see that, the induced metric $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ at the boundary of the $AdS_3 \times T^2$ space (26) is not given by the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, rather it's given by

$$\gamma_{\nu\nu} = (-1, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}, 1), \quad (27)$$

where we've introduced the dimensionless quantity $\mathcal{B} = \frac{BR^2}{\sqrt{3}}$.

Using this induced metric (27) to take the trace of the spectral functions, one finds the photon Γ_γ^B and dilepton $\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}^B$ production rates in the presence of the strong external magnetic field B to be

$$d\Gamma_\gamma^B \propto \gamma_{\nu\nu} \chi_B^{\nu\nu}(K) \Big|_{\omega=k} = -4\mathcal{B} \text{Im } C_B^{xx}, \quad (28)$$

and

$$d\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}^B \propto \gamma_{\nu\nu} \chi_B^{\nu\nu}(K) = 2 \text{Im } C_B^{tt} - 2 \text{Im } C_B^{zz} - 4\mathcal{B} \text{Im } C_B^{xx}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\text{Im } C_B^{tt}(\epsilon = 0) = -\frac{k_z^2}{\omega} \sigma_B^L(1) \text{Re} (1 + iD_B \frac{k_z^2}{\omega})^{-1} \text{Re } F_B^L(\omega, k_z, T), \quad (30)$$

$$\text{Im } C_B^{zz}(\epsilon = 0) = -\omega \sigma_B^L(1) \text{Re} (1 + iD_B \frac{k_z^2}{\omega})^{-1} \text{Re } F_B^L(\omega, k_z, T), \quad (31)$$

$$\text{Im } C_B^{xx}(\epsilon = 0) = \text{Im } C_B^{yy}(\epsilon = 0) = -\omega \sigma_B^T(1) \text{Re } F_B^T(\omega, k_z, T), \quad (32)$$

where $\sigma_B^L(1)$, $\sigma_B^T(1)$, and D_B are still defined by (11), (12), and (15), respectively, but this time using the $AdS_3 \times T^2$ metric (26). Also, F_B^T and F_B^L should have the same asymptotic behavior as F^T and F^L , respectively.

Comparison. Using the $AdS_3 \times T^2$ metric (26) in (12) and (15), one can find the transversal DC conductivity $\sigma_B^T(1) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{N^2 T}{16\pi} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sigma^T(1)$ and $D_B(\epsilon = 0) = \infty$, respectively, which implies that $\text{Im } C_B^{tt}(\epsilon = 0) = \text{Im } C_B^{zz}(\epsilon = 0) = 0$. Therefore, the photon Γ_γ^B (28) and dilepton $\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}^B$ (29) production rates in the presence of strong external magnetic field B will become,

$$d\Gamma_\gamma^B \propto 4\mathcal{B}\omega \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{N^2 T}{16\pi} \text{Re } F_B^T(\omega, k, T) \sim \frac{2\mathcal{B}}{\sqrt{3}} d\Gamma_\gamma, \quad (33)$$

and

$$d\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}^B \propto 4\mathcal{B}\omega \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{N^2 T}{16\pi} \text{Re } F_B^T(\omega, k, T) \sim \frac{-8\mathcal{B}}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Im } C^{xx}, \quad (34)$$

where we used $\sigma^L(1) = \sigma^T(1) = \frac{N^2 T}{16\pi}$ and $D = \frac{1}{2\pi T}$ for the AdS_5 metric (5). We've also assumed $F^T \sim F_B^T$.

Therefore, from (33), we observe that there is strong enhancement in the photon production rate, by a factor of $\sim \frac{2\mathcal{B}R^2}{3}$, due to the strong external magnetic field.

For $D \sim 0$ and $F^L \sim F^T$, using (34) and (25), one can also quantify the magnitude of enhancement of the dilepton production rate by taking the ratio

$$\text{Ratio} = \left| \frac{d\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}^B}{d\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}} \right| = \frac{\frac{4}{3}\omega^2}{|3\omega^2 - k_z^2|} \mathcal{B} R^2. \quad (35)$$

So, it's obvious from (35) that the dilepton production rate has increased by a factor of $\sim \frac{\frac{4}{3}\omega^2}{|3\omega^2 - k_z^2|} \mathcal{B} R^2$ and the enhancement gets stronger with decreasing momentum k_z , for spacelike momenta $k_z > \sqrt{3}\omega$, in a qualitative agreement with the observed enhancement at RHIC [1, 2].

One can also repeat the whole analysis so far in this paper with a different choice of momentum $K = (\omega, k_x, 0, 0)$. With this choice of momentum, the transversal DC conductivity in $AdS_3 \times T^2$ (26) will be given by

$$\sigma_B^T(1) = \Sigma^T(1) = \frac{1}{g_5^2} \sqrt{g_{xx}(1)g_{yy}(1)g_{zz}(1)g^{zz}(1)} = \frac{N^2 B}{32\pi^3 T}. \quad (36)$$

(Note that $B_{RHIC} \sim \frac{m_\pi^2}{e}$ and $T_{RHIC} = 2m_\pi$ at RHIC; $B_{LHC} \sim \frac{15m_\pi^2}{e}$ and $T_{LHC} = 4m_\pi$ at LHC [5]. Therefore, $B_{RHIC} \sim \frac{1}{4e} T_{RHIC}^2$ and $B_{LHC} = \frac{15}{16e} T_{LHC}^2$.) Using the these numerical estimates in (36), we find that $\sigma_B^T(1) \sim \frac{1}{8\pi^2 e} \sigma^T(1)$ at RHIC and $\sigma_B^T(1) \sim \frac{15}{32\pi^2 e} \sigma^T(1)$ at LHC. Therefore, the photon Γ_γ^B (28) and dilepton $\Gamma_{\ell\bar{\ell}}^B$ (29) production rates in the presence of strong external magnetic field $B_z = B$, when the momentum is in the x

direction, for $\sigma_B^T(1) \sim \frac{1}{8\pi^2 e} \sigma^T(1)$ at RHIC, become,

$$d\Gamma_\gamma^B \sim \frac{\mathcal{B}}{8\pi^2 e} d\Gamma_\gamma, \quad (37)$$

and

$$d\Gamma_{\ell\ell}^B \sim \frac{-\mathcal{B}}{2\pi^2 e} \text{Im } C^{zz}. \quad (38)$$

Similarly, for $\sigma_B^T(1) \sim \frac{15}{32\pi^2 e} \sigma^T(1)$ at LHC, we'll have

$$d\Gamma_\gamma^B \sim \frac{15\mathcal{B}}{32\pi^2 e} d\Gamma_\gamma, \quad (39)$$

and

$$d\Gamma_{\ell\ell}^B \sim \frac{-15\mathcal{B}}{8\pi^2 e} \text{Im } C^{zz}. \quad (40)$$

Note that $\text{Im } C^{xx} = \text{Im } C^{tt} = 0$ since D_B is still infinite when the momentum is in the x direction.

Conclusion. We have calculated the photon and dilepton production rates for the strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills plasma in the presence of strong external magnetic field $B \gg \frac{T^2}{\sqrt{3}}$. We have found that the photon and dilepton production rates have increased by a factor of $\sim BR^2$ where $R^2 = \sqrt{\lambda} \ell_s^2$ and the 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N_c$ is fixed and large. We have also found that the enhancement of the dilepton production rate gets stronger with decreasing momentum (35), in a qualitative agreement with the RHIC data [1, 2].

The main reason for the strong enhancement of the production rates was due to the fact that we have to take the trace of the spectral function with the induced metric at the boundary of the dual theory which became 'non-Minkowski' kind (27) due to the strong magnetic field. So, it looks like the presence of the strong magnetic field has affected the geometry of the spacetime at which the quark-gluon plasma lived.

Therefore, one possible exotic production mechanism, for the excess photons, might be the interaction of the background magnetic field with gravitons. Or, it might just be that the conformal anomaly created due to the strong magnetic field is acting as a source of the excess photons, as proposed recently in [23]. These mechanisms are at very speculative stage and should be carefully investigated further in the future.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank A. Lewis Licht, and Bo Ling for the stimulating discussions and suggestions.

-
- [1] A. Adare *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 132301 (2010) [arXiv:0804.4168 [nucl-ex]].
 - [2] A. Adare *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **81**, 034911 (2010) [arXiv:0912.0244 [nucl-ex]].
 - [3] M. Chiu, T. K. Hemmick, V. Khachatryan, A. Leonidov, J. Liao and L. McLerran, arXiv:1202.3679 [nucl-th].
 - [4] H. van Hees, C. Gale and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 054906 (2011) [arXiv:1108.2131 [hep-ph]].
 - [5] K. Tuchin, arXiv:1206.0485 [hep-ph].
 - [6] S. -i. Nam, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 033014 (2012) [arXiv:1207.3172 [hep-ph]].
 - [7] B. O. Kerbikov and M. A. Andreichikov, arXiv:1206.6044 [hep-ph].
 - [8] G. Basar and D. E. Kharzeev, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 086012 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2161 [hep-th]].
 - [9] S. Caron-Huot, P. Kovtun, G. D. Moore, A. Starinets and L. G. Yaffe, JHEP **0612**, 015 (2006) [hep-th/0607237].
 - [10] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [hep-th/9711200].
 - [11] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B **428**, 105 (1998) [hep-th/9802109].
 - [12] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998) [hep-th/9802150].
 - [13] D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, JHEP **0209**, 042 (2002) [hep-th/0205051].
 - [14] C. P. Herzog and D. T. Son, JHEP **0303**, 046 (2003) [hep-th/0212072].
 - [15] N. Iqbal and H. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 025023 (2009) [arXiv:0809.3808 [hep-th]].
 - [16] T. Faulkner, H. Liu and M. Rangamani, JHEP **1108**, 051 (2011) [arXiv:1010.4036 [hep-th]].
 - [17] I. Heemskerk and J. Polchinski, JHEP **1106**, 031 (2011) [arXiv:1010.1264 [hep-th]].
 - [18] S. -J. Sin and Y. Zhou, JHEP **1105**, 030 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4477 [hep-th]].
 - [19] K. A. Mamo, JHEP **1210**, 070 (2012) [arXiv:1205.1797 [hep-th]].
 - [20] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, JHEP **0209**, 043 (2002) [hep-th/0205052].
 - [21] E. D'Hoker and P. Kraus, JHEP **0910**, 088 (2009) [arXiv:0908.3875 [hep-th]].
 - [22] G. Basar and D. E. Kharzeev, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 086012 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2161 [hep-th]].
 - [23] G. Basar, D. Kharzeev, and V. Skokov, arXiv:1206.1334 [hep-ph].
 - [24] A. Bzdak and V. Skokov, arXiv:1208.5502 [hep-ph].